WATKINS-NANCE ELEMENTARY 2525 Barhamville Rd. Columbia, South Carolina 29204 K-5 Elementary School GRADES 420 Students ENROLLMENT Dr. Evelyn Cohens 803-733-4321 PRINCIPAL SUPERINTENDENT Dr. Ronald L. Epps 803-231-7500 Vince Ford 803-231-7556 BOARD CHAIR THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANNUAL SCHOOL 2003 REPORT CARD ABSOLUTE RATING: BELOW AVERAGE Absolute Ratings of Elementary Schools with Students like Ours Excellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfactory 3 45 47 IMPROVEMENT RATING: UNSATISFACTORY ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS: ND This school met 7 out of 13 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups and student attendance rate. SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country. FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT: WWW.MYSCSCHOOLS.COM WWW.SCEOC.ORG

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	N/A	N/A	N/A
2002	Below Average	Unsatisfactory	N/A
2003 2004	Below Average	Unsatisfactory	No

PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT) RESULTS

Definition of Critical Terms

Advanced

Very high score; very well prepared to work at next grade level; exceeded expectations

Proficient

Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations

Basic

Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level

Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan; the local board policy determines progress to the next grade level

NOTE: Science and social studies are to be included in the 2005 school report card.

	Teachers	Students	Parents				
Number of surveys returned	37	62	41				
Percent satisfied with learning environment	83.3%	83.9%	87.8%				
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	86.1%	84.7%	71.8%				
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	54 1%	85.2%	85.4%				

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS

4001092 PACT PERFORMANCE BY GROUP olo Proficient and State Objective Etrolinent 1st July of Testing olo Belon Basic olo Proficient olo Advanced Advanced olo Tested olo Basic English/Language Arts All students N/A 196 99.0 41.9 49.7 8.4 8.4 17.6 Gender Male 111 99.1 52.7 40.9 6.5 N/A 6.5 17.6 Female 98.8 27.4 61.6 11.0 N/A 11.0 17.6 85 Racial/Ethnic Group 0.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 17.6 White N/A African-American 99.0 41.2 50.3 8.5 N/A 8.5 17.6 195 Asian/Pacific Islander N/A 0.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 17.6 Hispanic 17.6 100.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 1 American Indian/Alaskan 17.6 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 0.0 Disability Status Not disabled 51.1 N/A 157 98.7 38.5 10.4 10.4 17.6 Disabled 39 100.0 56.3 43.8 N/A N/A N/A 17.6 Migrant Status Migrant 0.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 17.6 N/A Non-migrant 196 99.0 41.6 50.0 8.4 N/A 8.4 17.6 English Proficiency Limited English proficient N/A 0.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 17.6 Non-limited English proficient 99.0 41.6 50.0 8.4 N/A 8.4 17.6 196 Socio-Economic Status Subsidized meals 98.9 41.3 50.3 8.4 N/A 8.4 17.6 174 Full-pay meals 19 100.0 45.5 45.5 9.1 N/A 9.1 17.6 Mathematics All students 196 100.0 51.2 39.3 8.9 0.6 9.5 15.5 Gender Male 100.0 52.7 N/A 8.6 111 38.7 8.6 15.5 Female 100.0 48.6 40.5 9.5 1.4 10.8 15.5 85 Racial/Ethnic Group White 0.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 15.5 N/A African-American 195 100.0 50.6 39.8 9.0 0.6 9.6 15.5 Asian/Pacific Islander N/A 0.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 15.5 Hispanic 100.0 N/A N/A N/A 15.5 N/A N/A American Indian/Alaskan N/A 0.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 15.5 Disability Status Not disabled 100.0 47.1 41.2 11.0 0.7 15.5 157 11.8 Disabled 100.0 68.8 N/A N/A 15.5 39 31.3 N/A Migrant Status N/A N/A N/A N/A 15.5 Migrant N/A 0.0 N/A Non-migrant 196 100.0 50.9 39.5 9.0 0.6 9.6 15.5 English Proficiency Limited English proficient N/A 0.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 15.5

Abbreviations for Missing Data

50.9

51.3

45.5

196

174

19

100.0

100.0

100.0

Non-limited English proficient

Socio-Economic Status Subsidized meals

Full-pay meals

39.5

38.5

54.5

9.0

9.6

N/A

0.6

0.6

N/A

9.6

10.3

N/A

15.5

15.5

15.5

PACT PERFORMANCE BY GRADE LEVEL

		dir	Se to	reste 19	ON	Basic ok	Profit	Adva Profit
		Enroll	ald les	Ceste ologi		0/0	olo	Adva olo Profit
			,		n/Langua	ge Arts	/	
	Grade 3	63	N/A	25.4	50.8	23.7	N/A	23.7
	Grade 4	59	N/A	22.8	64.9	12.3	N/A	12.3
2002	Grade 5	63	N/A	38.1	50.8	11.1	N/A	11.1
20	Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
•	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 3	58	98.3	40.0	48.0	12.0	N/A	12.0
	Grade 4	66	100.0	37.5	55.4	7.1	N/A	7.1
8	Grade 5	72	98.6	47.5	45.9	6.6	N/A	6.6
2003	Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

				Ma	athematio	cs		
	Grade 3	63	N/A	41.9	51.6	4.8	1.6	6.5
	Grade 4	59	N/A	45.6	35.1	15.8	3.5	19.3
2002	Grade 5	63	N/A	58.7	38.1	3.2	N/A	3.2
20	Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
•	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 3	58	100.0	52.9	43.1	3.9	N/A	3.9
	Grade 4	66	100.0	41.1	37.5	19.6	1.8	21.4
2003	Grade 5	72	100.0	59.0	37.7	3.3	N/A	3.3
20	Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

SCHOOL PROFILE				
	Our School	Change from Last Year	Elementary Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Elementary School
Students (n= 420)				
First graders who attended full-day kindergarten	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Retention rate	3.7%	Up from 3.1%	2.5%	2.4%
Attendance rate	95.3%	Down from 96.0%	95.5%	95.9%
Meeting grade 1 and 2 readiness standards	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Eligible for gifted and talented	2.4%	Down from 5.3%	5.2%	13.2%
On academic plans	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
On academic probation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
With disabilities other than speech	10.6%	Down from 11.8%	8.3%	8.0%
Older than usual for grade	0.2%	Down from 0.5%	2.9%	1.1%
Suspended or expelled	0.5%	Down from 0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n= 36)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	41.7%	Down from 44.7%	46.9%	50.0%
Continuing contract teachers	61.1%	Up from 52.6%	77.8%	85.3%
Highly qualified teachers	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Teachers returning from previous year	r N/A	N/A	80.3%	86.2%
Teacher attendance rate	96.2%	Down from 97.0%	95.1%	95.3%
Average teacher salary	\$36,893	Down 11.2%	\$38,381	\$39,909
Prof. development days/teacher	11.5 days	Up from 6.7 days	13.0 days	11.4 days
School				
Principal's years at school	2.0	Up from 1.0	3.0	4.0
Student-teacher ratio	13.5 to 1	Down from 15.0 to 1	17.1 to 1	18.9 to 1
Prime instructional time	89.9%	Down from 91.7%	88.7%	89.7%
Dollars spent per pupil*	\$7,919	N/A	\$6,797	\$5,892
Percent spent on teacher salaries*	67.0%	N/A	63.5%	66.6%
Opportunities in the arts	Good	No change	Good	Good

* Prior year audited	financial	data	are	reported.
----------------------	-----------	------	-----	-----------

Parents attending conferences SACS accreditation

	Our District	State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	N/A	N/A	
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools	N/A	N/A	

83.8%

yes

Up from 71.4% N/A 99.0%

yes

99.0%

yes

Abbreviations for Missing Data

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

Watkins-Nance Elementary has completed its second year in a new facility. Our school has continued to focus on programs to ensure student success. We are especially proud of the programs we provided to assist students with their academic performance. These included our Parent-Student Academic Fair and Early Bird and Afternoon Delight tutorial programs. Our staff development programs continued to focus on topics such as customer service, improving student performance on the Palmetto Achievement Challenge Test (PACT), and integrating math, science, and social studies into the language arts curriculum.

Major emphasis was placed upon student communication skills and math initiatives. New programs added to reinforce these skills were Project MIND (Math is Not Difficult), the Soar to Success reading program, and the use of Compass Learning computer software for language arts and math skills.

Watkins-Nance has continued to prepare students to meet new challenges. Team teaching, as well as quarterly mock testing and district assessment testing, were conducted to strengthen students' test-taking skills. Retired teachers were employed to provide small-group instruction to our struggling readers. Foster Grandparents worked daily to provide academic assistance for our first graders.

Students were recognized for outstanding academic performance at quarterly award ceremonies. Our Honor Student Program, Student and Class of the Month Programs, Student Council, Career Fair, and Safety Patrol were activities that focused upon students' academic performance and character.

Watkins-Nance employed several unique parent/community outreach programs. These included the Parent Books and Breakfast Club, Parent-Teacher Conference Incentives, and the Thanksgiving Food Drive.

In spite of our successes, we have some barriers that inhibit progress. These include having about 50 percent of our students enter school without preschool experience, limited parent participation, and limited reinforcement of academic skills at home. However, we are making strides in these areas and are proud of our progress. Since the opening of our school in 2001, our 2001-02 Teacher of the Year won a \$25,000 Milken Award, one of our volunteers was named District Volunteer of the Year, our school newsletter won a 2002-03 Golden Pencil (a district award), and we successfully completed a SACS evaluation.

Dr. Evelyn Cohens, Principal, Watkins-Nance Elementary School

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.